State of South Dakota

SEVENTY-NINTH SESSION LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY, 2004

273J0768

SENATE ENGROSSED NO. HCR 1012 - 02/21/2004

Introduced by: Representatives Olson (Mel), Bartling, Garnos, Hackl, Hargens, Miles, Pederson (Gordon), Solum, Weems, and Wick and Senators McCracken, Apa, Dempster, Duxbury, Greenfield, Moore, Olson (Ed), and Symens

1 A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION, Requesting the Federal Communications Commission to 2 reconsider its order on local number portability. 3 WHEREAS, on November 24, 2003, landline local exchange carriers in the nation's largest 4 one hundred metropolitan areas began implementing local number portability between wireline 5 and wireless services, a system that allows subscribers to transfer their telecommunications 6 service from their incumbent landline local exchange carrier to a wireless telecommunications 7 company so the subscribers can receive calls on their wireless handsets using their existing local 8 telephone number; and 9 WHEREAS, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) has by order mandated that 10 this local number portability be available throughout all areas of the United States on May 24, 11 2004; and 12 WHEREAS, under the FCC's order, the landline local exchange carrier may be responsible 13 for the costs of transporting all calls from the fixed location of a local telephone number to 14 wherever the subscriber's wireless handset may be located; and

WHEREAS, these costs may be substantial and unduly burdensome to the rural local

15

- 2 - HCR 1012

exchange carriers in South Dakota and their subscribers; and

WHEREAS, in ordering this local number portability the FCC failed to consider the

3 substantial differences existing between the local calling areas established for rural local

exchange carriers and the local calling areas offered by larger wireless carriers; and

5 WHEREAS, the FCC has not ordered that wireless carriers must provide similar local

number portability and allow for the transfer of wireless telephone numbers to a landline

telephone service and consequently, has not established a local number portability requirement

that is competitively neutral; and

1

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Dakota.

WHEREAS, it was premature of the Federal Communications Commission to adopt its order without first updating regulations for network access charges and offering reforms to its existing federal universal service mechanisms:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the House of Representatives of the Seventyninth Legislature of the State of South Dakota, the Senate concurring therein, that the
Legislature of the State of South Dakota hereby affirms its support for the portability of local
phone numbers but requests the Federal Communications Commission to address concerns
about the negative impacts on rural, landline, local exchange carriers, and their subscribers; and
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Federal Communications Commission be
encouraged to implement substantive changes to universal service and network access charges
that will keep telecommunications service affordable for consumers in all areas of South